UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,999	03/30/2006	Claus Frohberg	65084.000018	9272
21967 HUNTON & W	7590 06/24/200 YILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	PAGE, BRENT T		
1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006-1109	1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,999	FROHBERG, CLAUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	BRENT PAGE	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelication (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the select forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the state of the second sec	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. Iliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be the sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	g date of the final rejection in the final rejection in the fill and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate in the final Office of the final rejection, ending the filled within two months avoid dismissal of the	e extension fee ate extension fee eate extension fee action; or (2) as wen if timely filed,
	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-13.16-22,24,25,29 and 30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	: lowable if submitted in a separate, t ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The functional language remains insufficient for one of staimed SEQ ID Nos would be considered to be a Class Class 3 branching enzyme activity is not a considered ling significant number of embodiments in which Applicants I Therefore the claims remain rejected under 35 USC 112 USC 112 1st paragraph for lacking written description when not been adequately described	kill in the art to determine if sequen 3 branching enzyme or not. Due to mitation of the claims and therefore have not given guidance as to how 1st paragraph for enablement. The terein the required sequences for C	ces with only 95% ide o lack of guidance in t the claims lack enable to use such emobodir e claims also remain r	entity of the he specification, ement for a nents. ejected under 35
12.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Anne Marie Grunberg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1638 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090609